### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

DEPT.

Date: June 26, 1989 Hon. KEN M. KAWAICHI Nanci E. Bowling , Judge Counsel appearing IN RE COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION for Plaintiff No one appearing Plaintiff\_\_\_ Counsel appearing No one appearing for Defermant Defendant\_

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

ORDER REGARDING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF REFEREE

ACTION No 607734-9

Action placed on calendar by order of the Court.

The Court hereby signs and files the order regarding the report and recommendation of referee. Steven Kazan, Esq. is hereby directed to notify all interested parties through their counsel. (Sales to

DATED: June 26, 1989

KEN M. KAWAICHI, Judge of the Superior Cou

2.5

## FILED

JUN 2 6 1989

RENE C. DAVIDSUIT, DOUNTY Clork

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION IN RE: SHIPYARD AND APPLICATOR -KAZAN AND MCCLAIN (CONSOLIDATED FOR DISCOVERY)

No. 607734-9

No. 537868-9

ORDER RE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF REFEREE

On March 8, 1989 the Honorable Leonard Dieden, Referee, submitted his report pursuant to the Order of Reference entered on June 21, 1988 by and through the Honorable Raymond L. Marsh. This court, having reviewed the report and recommendation of Referee, the files and materials relating thereto, having afforded counsel for all parties an opportunity to be heard, and upon careful consideration and good cause appearing therefore, hereby makes the following orders:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

- This order shall apply to all Shipyard and Applicator asbestos cases (Kazan and McClain) previously filed in Alameda County and currently pending, and to all cases filed hereafter.
  - This order shall apply to all defendants served with the

1.

27

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN RE:

Order of Reference dated June 21, 1988 as enumerated in Exhibit A to the Report and Recommendation of Referee, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

- 3. A copy of this order shall be served on all newly served defendants at the time of first service of Summons and Complaint in a subsequent or pending action. Plaintiffs' counsel shall further serve a copy of this Order on all defendants served with the Order of Reference dated June 21, 1988. The Court hereby adopts the Standard Plaintiffs Interrogatories to Defendant, to be answered by defendant under oath in these captions. A copy of the standard set of interrogatories was previously served upon all parties as Exhibit C to Referee Dieden's proposed amended General Order for Discovery/Consolidation filed and served with the report and recommendation of Referee on March 8, 1989 and said interrogatories are incorporated herein by reference as though set out in full.
- 4. These interrogatories to defendant and answers thereto shall be deemed propounded and answered in each and every one of the aforesaid consolidated for Discovery actions. These interrogatories are deemed served upon each defendant with this Order. By virtue of the previously established and extended stay of asbestos litigation in Alameda County, defendant's obligation to answer these interrogatories is similarly stayed. However, each defendant shall be obligated to submit answers to said interrogatories 30 days following the lifting of the stay as to any case in which said defendant remains a defendant.

.2

R

- 6. The Court further finds that, the Referee having taken into account all meritorious objections to proposed interrogatories, and having prepared a revised set of interrogatories which the Court herewith has adopted, that defendants are required to answer these standard interrogatories by plaintiff to defendants.
- It is further ordered that \$10,500 be awarded for the Referee's services rendered herein, with plaintiffs liable for one third and defendants liable for two thirds thereof. Karen Harmatiuk, Esq. shall marshall and account for defendants' share. Steven Kazan, Esq. shall marshall and account for plaintiffs' share.

18

19

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

June 22, 1989 DATED:

.21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.

THE SUPERIOR COURT

On July 27, 1988 plaintiffs' counsel served Judge Marsh's Order of Reference on the following defendants:

Mallinckrodt, Inc. Babcock & Wilcox/McDermott Atchison, Topeka & Railway Co. Mundet U.S. Polymeric Corp. U.S. Mineral Pittsburg Plate & Glass Synkoloid Sacoma Mfq. AirCo Welding Sacramento Industries M.H. Detrick Sacramento Industrial Supply E.V. Robert W.R. Grace Fiberite Wordbestos Southern Pacific Westinghouse Merrit Ship Repair Firestone Swinerton & Walberg Raybestos/Raymark Garlock Uniroyal Atlantic Richfield Keystone Tank Ship National Bulk Sea-Land Weyerhauser Eastern Refractories Carlisle/Teledyne Crown Cork ford E.J. Bartells Joy Mfg Thiokol Sacoma Sierra Parker-Hannifin Kelly-Moore Paint Co., Inc. Empire Ace/Steven Volkswagon American Motors Corp. American Tobacco Co. National Gypsum Co. Lear Siegler Royal Industries Chrysler

Pacific Dry Dock & Repair Co. Goodyear tire & Rubber Co. Shell Oil Firecal Colt Idustries Bendix (Allied Corp) **ISCO** Marine Transportation Prudential Ned Lloyd J.N.O. Swisher & Son, Inc. Pacific Bell International Harvester Lorillard American Tobacco Lear Siegler New Turn Asbestos Corp. Ltd. Kaiser Cement Geo. Short/Gasket SP Permanente Steamship Combustion Engineering S.K. Wellman American Motors S.T. Insulations Plant Insulation Liggett Group Beadex U.S. Steel American Motors Kewanee Boiler Crane Packing Foster Wheeler Eutectic Matson Navigation Co. Crane Packing Borg-Warner Stoody Company Anchor Packing Kentile Floors, Inc. Bethlehem Steel Bethlehem Steel Co. Chevron Oil American Brands Pacific Welding Phillip Morris Cassiar Mining Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.

Chevron

State Comp. Ins. Fund Asbestos Corp. Ltd. Bell Asbestos Abex Corp. Kaiser Aluminum Bechtel Royal Industries A.W. Chesterton Dunn Edwards Corp. Hamilton Materials East Bay Gasket Western MacArthur Navistar International Transp. R.J. Reynolds Southern Pacific Transp. Co. Wordbestos Eagle-Picher Anaconda Kaiser Gypsum Domtar Parker-Hannifin Corp. Devcon J.T. Thorpe Congoleum General Electric Co. Plant Asbestos American Asbestos Gen. Veneer Mead-Clark Dowman Products John-Crane Houdaille Georgia Pacific Plant Insulation American President Lines Wagner Electric Shell Oil

Triple A Machine Shop Commercial Union Assurance Co. Fisher Scientific Co. Asarco/Lake Asbestos Standard Oil E.I. DuPont Nemours & Company Owens Corning Western Bldg. Materials Co., Inc. Crown Cork Todd Shipyard Charter Consolidated Nicolet Atlas Turner Excelsior Phillip Morris Kaiser Steel Lear Siegler Rich-Tex Hamilton Materials - U.S. Gypsum Dexter Corp. Claremont Co. P.E. O'Hair & Co. Auto Specialties Ingersoll Rand

Asbestos Claims Facility Counsel Were Also Served On Behalf of the Following:

A C and S Armstrong Cork/Armstrong World Carey Canada A.P. Green Fibreboard (Mine) Moore Drydock Flintkote/Blue Diamond National Gypsum Asbestos Mines Huron Owens-Illinois Rock Wool Mfg Co. Turner & Newall U.S. Gypsum Keene Corp./BEH/BHI So. Textile Thermoid

Amchem/Benj. Foster
Celotex Corp.
Certainteed
Fibreboard/Paraffine/Pabco
Flexitallic, Inc.
GAF
National Asbestos
Abestone
Smith Asbestos
Pittsburgh Corn
Thorpe Insulation
Union Carbide
Maremont Corp.
H.K. PorterSo. Asbestos

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

IN RE: COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION

No. 607734-9

No. 537868-7

REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION OF
REFEREE

15

On June 21, 1988, the above entitled Court, by and through the Honorable Raymond L. Marsh, appointed the undersigned as Referee to hear and determine the following issues:

- 1. Whether the 1980 Order Consolidating Actions for Discovery should be amended to specifically authorize a set of interrogatories by Plaintiffs upon Defendants and/or what standard set of interrogatories to Defendants are proper for use in this caption.
- 2. The issue of whether inclusion within this caption of each Defendant notified of this Order is proper.
- 3. The issue of what effect answers by several of the Defendants previously given to the interrogatories served in June of 1987 should be if it is determined that the present

interrogatories to Defendants were not properly served under this caption.

Your Referee met with counsel over a period of months, reviewed arguments submitted by both sides and heard arguments by 5 | all interested parties. The matter was submitted following hearing on February 16, 1989.

This Referee hereby finds:

3

6

7

8

13

17

18

19

22

26

- 1. The September 4, 1980 Order consolidating the Kazan and McClain Shipyard and Applicator Asbestos cases for discovery purposes specifically provides that the court in this 11 consolidated caption reserves the right to modify, alter, or 12 rescind the order of consolidation.
- 2. The Court has the authority to make a general discovery order and/or modify, alter or rescind the September 4, 1980 consolidation/discovery order pursuant to Standards of Judicial 16 Administration section 19, California Government Code section 68070, and California Code of Civil Procedure section 187.
- 3. The 1980 Order was initially conceived of and created as a general discovery order applicable to all complex 20 non-fiberboard asbestos cases then pending or to be filed in 21 | Alameda County subsequent to the issuance of the September 4, 1980 Order. This general discovery order was subsequently 23 modified on September 4, 1980 as a discovery/consolidation order in an effort to reduce paper work and simplify discovery in all non-fiberboard asbestos cases then pending and subsequently filed in Alameda County.
  - Since the 1980 Order was issued similar general orders have been issued throughout the state in asbestos as well as

- 5. It appears that some of the confusion regarding who the 1980 Discovery Order applies to has resulted from the act of consolidation rather than from the issuance of the General Discovery Order. The intent in issuing the 1980 Order and the terms of the Order itself suggest that the Order was to apply to all non-fiberboard asbestos cases listed on Appendix A attached to the 1980 Order and any and all Kazan and McClain (f.k.a. Kazan and Kilbourne) non-fiberboard asbestos actions filed in Alameda County after September 4, 1980. It is clear that from the date of issuance of the 1980 Order until service of Plaintiff's June 30, 1987 interrogatories, the Order was in fact applied to all Kazan and Kilbourne non-fiberboard asbestos cases filed in Alameda County.
- 6. All of the Defendants listed on Exhibit A attached hereto were in fact served with a copy of the Honorable Raymond Marsh's Order of Reference entered on June 21, 1988. These Defendants have had notice of these proceedings and they have had the opportunity to be heard.

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7. A general discovery order applicable to all asbestos cases filed in Alameda County which provides for standard interrogatories from Plaintiffs to Defendants and Defendants to Plaintiffs would clearly serve to facilitate discovery and would serve the interests of judicial economy.
- 8. Refinery and Friction Defendants object to being required to answer a set a standard interrogatories which do not

specifically address their unique positions. Plaintiffs argue that even though these Defendants are concededly in unique positions both the Refinery Defendants and Friction Defendants were also involved in manufacturing asbestos-containing products and to the extent these Defendants were so engaged the proposed standard interrogatories are applicable to them.

- 9. Plaintiffs have not prepared a set of standard set of interrogatories specifically directed to the Friction and/or Refinery Defendants. The San Francisco General Order 29 interrogatories to Friction Defendants requests much more information and in much more detail than the information requested in the proposed standard interrogatories from Plaintiffs to Defendants herein. Plaintiffs have not sought a standard set of questions regarding the more detailed information requested in the San Francisco General Order 29 interrogatories to Friction Defendants. The proposed standard interrogatories cover the general information which would be requested in such a set of interrogatories and do not include a request for the more detailed information.
- 10. Defendants further object to a standard set of interrogatories by Plaintiffs to Defendants on the grounds that Defendants have responded to interrogatories similar in form pursuant to San Francisco General Order Number 29 and/or in this consolidated caption. In reviewing the answers previously given it must be noted that answers to some questions have been given, objections have been made, and some Defendants have failed to answer at all.
  - 11. It is clear that several Defendants do not want any

kind of uniform discovery from Plaintiffs to Defendants instituted in Alameda County. It is also clear that many of the 3 objections raised by Defendants on the basis that the questions are overbroad, burdensome or unintelligible are without merit. 5 See, Durst v. Superior Court, 218 Cal.App.2d 460 (1963). Objections with merit have been taken into consideration in preparing the revised set of interrogatories from Plaintiffs to Defendants attached hereto.

7

9

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. Supplemental interrogatories are clearly proper pursuant to California Civil Code section 2030(c)(8). Answers propounded in San Francisco County pursuant to General Order Number 29 interrogatories are not admissable in Alameda County actions. The Defendants' objections that answering yet another similar set of interrogatories in Alameda County under the consolidated caption while at the same time they object on the grounds that the questions are burdensome and overbroad belie the fact that most of the information needed to respond to these standard question has already been gathered and organized in response to other standard interrogatories. It is thus a simple task to provide the answers requested in this consolidated caption.
- 11. This Referee has spent 51 hours from June 21,1988 through March 7, 1989.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS this Referee hereby recommends:

That the September 4, 1980 General Order be amended so as to provide a standard set of interrogatories to be served by Plaintiffs on all Defendants. This Referee would recommend the amended order attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated

- 2. That each and every Defendant served with the June 21, 1988 Order of Reference be included in the consolidated discovery That Plaintiffs be ordered to serve with any complaint naming any additional Defendant(s) a copy of the Amended General Order at the time of service of the complaint and that these newly served Defendants be joined in the consolidated action and bound by the General Discovery Order.
- That each and every Defendant covered by this amended discovery/consolidation order answer the attached Proposed Standard Interrogatories by Plaintiffs to Defendants. Objections 12 to these standard form Plaintiff interrogatories would be limited in scope in the same way objections lie to Official Form Interrogatories.
- That the clerk lodge with the court all correspondence, pleadings and transcripts prepared since the June 21, 1988 17 Referral Order Regarding Amendment of the September 4, 1980 Order.
  - 5. That it be ordered that 8 10,200 be awarded for this Referee's services rendered, with Plaintiffs liable for One Thank and Defendants liable for The Think Harmatiuk, Esq. shall marshall and account for Defendants' share.
  - That it be ordered that Plaintiffs and Defendants each be liable for one-half of the costs incurred herein. Harmatiuk, Esq. shall marshall and account for Defendants' share.

27 Dated: March 7, 1989

28

26

9

15

18

19

21

On July 27, 1988 plaintiffs' counsel served Judge Marsh's Order of Reference on the following defendants:

Mallinckrodt, Inc. Babcock & Wilcox/McDermott Atchison, Topeka & Railway Co. Mundet U.S. Polymeric Corp. U.S. Mineral Pittsburg Plate & Glass Synkoloid Sacoma Mfg. AirCo Welding Sacramento Industries M.H. Detrick Sacramento Industrial Supply E.V. Robert W.R. Grace Fiberite Wordbestos Southern Pacific Westinghouse Merrit Ship Repair Firestone Swinerton & Walberg Raybestos/Raymark Garlock Uniroyal Atlantic Richfield Keystone Tank Ship National Bulk Sea-Land Weyerhauser Eastern Refractories Carlisle/Teledyne Crown Cork ford E.J. Bartells Joy Mfg Thickol Sacoma Sierra Parker-Hannifin Kelly-Moore Paint Co., Inc. Empire Ace/Steven Volkswagon American Motors Corp. American Tobacco Co. National Gypsum Co. Lear Siegler Royal Industries Chrysler

Pacific Dry Dock & Repair Co. Goodyear tire & Rubber Co. Shell Oil Firecal Colt Idustries Bendix (Allied Corp) Marine Transportation Prudential Ned Lloyd J.N.O. Swisher & Son, Inc. Pacific Bell International Harvester Lorillard American Tobacco Lear Siegler New Turn Asbestos Corp. Ltd. Kaiser Cement Geo. Short/Gasket SP Permanente Steamship Combustion Engineering S.K. Wellman American Motors S.T. Insulations Plant Insulation Liggett Group Beadex U.S. Steel American Motors Kewanee Boiler Crane Packing Foster Wheeler Eutectic Matson Navigation Co. Crane Packing Borg-Warner Stoody Company Anchor Packing Kentile Floors, Inc. Bethlehem Steel Bethlehem Steel Co. Chevron Oil American Brands Pacific Welding Phillip Morris Cassiar Mining Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.

Chevron

State Comp. Ins. Fund Asbestos Corp. Ltd. Bell Asbestos Abex Corp. Kaiser Aluminum Bechtel Royal Industries A.W. Chesterton Dunn Edwards Corp. Hamilton Materials East Bay Gasket Western MacArthur Navistar International Transp. R.J. Reynolds Southern Pacific Transp. Co. Wordbestos Eagle-Picher Anaconda Kaiser Gypsum Domtar Parker-Hannifin Corp. Devcon J.T. Thorpe Congoleum General Electric Co. Plant Asbestos American Asbestos Gen. Veneer Mead-Clark Dowman Products John-Crane Houdaille Georgia Pacific Plant Insulation American President Lines Wagner Electric Shell Oil

1

Triple A Machine Shop Commercial Union Assurance Co. Fisher Scientific Co. Asarco/Lake Asbestos Standard Oil E.I. DuPont Nemours & Company Owens Corning Western Bldg. Materials Co., Inc. Crown Cork Todd Shipyard Charter Consolidated Nicolet Atlas Turner **Excelsior** Phillip Morris Kaiser Steel Lear Siegler Rich-Tex Hamilton Materials U.S. Gypsum Dexter Corp. Claremont Co. P.E. O'Hair & Co. Auto Specialties Ingersoll Rand

Asbestos Claims Facility Counsel Were Also Served On Behalf of the Following:

A C and S Armstrong Cork/Armstrong World Carey Canada A.P. Green Fibreboard (Mine) Moore Drydock Flintkote/Blue Diamond National Gypsum Asbestos Mines Huron Owens-Illinois Rock Wool Mfg Co. Turner & Newall U.S. Gypsum Keene Corp./BEH/BHI So. Textile Thermoid

Amchem/Benj. Foster
Celotex Corp.
Certainteed
Fibreboard/Paraffine/Pabco
Flexitallic, Inc.
GAF
National Asbestos
Abestone
Smith Asbestos
Pittsburgh Corn
Thorpe Insulation
Union Carbide
Maremont Corp.
H.K. Porter
So. Asbestos

5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 IN RE: COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION 11 No. 607734-9 IN RE: SHIPYARD AND APPLICATOR No. 537868-7 ASBESTOS CASES (CONSOLIDATED FOR DISCOVERY) 13 PROPOSED PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF 14 INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT \* 15 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiffs RESPONDING PARTY: 17 Defendant \* SET NUMBER: 18 ONE (1) TO DEFENDANT AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD: 19 Plaintiffs require that said defendant answer, under oath, 20 21 pursuant to Section 2030 of the California Code of Civil Procedure the following interrogatories. 22 23 | /// 24 /// 25 | / / / 26 || / / / 27 ||/// EXHIBIT C

1

2

3

28

///

#### DEFINITIONS

GEOGRAPHIC LIMITATION. Unless otherwise specifically set forth, the geographic scope of these interrogatories is NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.

TIME LIMITATION. Unless otherwise specifically set forth, the time frame of these interrogatories is 1930 to the present.

"THIS DEFENDANT" (THIS DEFENDANT'S) shall mean the named defendant herein, all of its predecessors in interest, and all of its successors in interest.

"YOU" and "YOUR" refer to the defendant who is named above as the responding party.

"ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S)" shall mean any product(s) of THIS DEFENDANT which THIS DEFENDANT knows or believes contain(s) the mineral asbestos.

"RAW ASBESTOS FIBER" means asbestos fiber mined or milled, either packaged or in bulk, not compounded with other substances and essentially pure with the exception of naturally occurring trace amounts of other substances.

supply, sale, labelling, distribution, importing, processing or manufacture of raw asbestos fiber and/or asbestos-containing products.

A request to describe the "NATURE" of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) shall mean to describe the: (a) color, (b) texture, (c) form (i.e., powder, liquid, paste, solid, board, cloth, blanket, wire insulation, etc.), and (d) physical dimensions (length, width, height, volume and weight).

"DOCUMENT(S)" or "WRITING(S)" shall include all writings as

1 2

defined by Section 250 of the California Evidence Code.

A request to "IDENTIFY" a "DOCUMENT" or "WRITING" shall mean a request to state: (a) the author; (b) the addressee; (c) date of origin; (d) the nature of the writing or document (e.g., letter, telephone memorandum, audio tape recording, photograph, etc.); and (e) its present location and name and present address of custodian thereof.

A request to state the "IDENTITY" of a person or individual means to state his or her name, the place of employment, job title, present business or present or last known home address, and present business telephone number.

"NORTHERN CALIFORNIA" shall encompass the following fortysix (46) counties: Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras,
Colusa, Contra Costa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn,
Humboldt, Kern, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Marin, Mariposa, Mendocino,
Merced, Modoc, Mono, Monterey, Napa, Nevada, Placer, Plumas,
Sacramento, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Mateo, Santa Clara,
Santa Cruz, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus,
Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Tulare, Tuolumne, Yolo and Yuba.

A "CONTRACT UNIT(S)" shall mean a department, division, subdivision, branch, or group which has been or is now engaged in installation and/or removal of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S).

"COMPANY" means any profit making private enterprise, including corporations, partnerships, joint ventures, and sole proprietorships.

### INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

With respect to the individual verifying these answers on

your behalf, state the following: 1 their name; a. 2 their present business address; b. 3 c. their present job title; 4 their date of first employment with you, and the dates d. 5 and titles of each job position they have held while they were 6 employed by you. 7 ANSWER: 8 9 INTERROGATORY NO. 2: 10 State whether YOU are a corporation. If so, state: 11 YOUR full corporate name; 12 the state of incorporation; 13 the date of incorporation; 14 d. the address of YOUR principal place of business; 15 if YOU are wholly-owned or if more than five (5) percent 16 of the ownership interest of YOUR COMPANY is owned by another business entity, state that entity's name and principal place of 18 business. 19 ANSWER: 20 21 **INTERROGATORY NO.3:** 22 Has THIS DEFENDANT ever been identified, known, or done 23

Has THIS DEFENDANT ever been identified, known, or done business under any other name? If so, please state such name or names and the time period during which THIS DEFENDANT was so known or identified.

ANSWER:

28

### INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

State whether YOU have ever been registered or qualified to do business in the State of California. If so, state the date YOU became qualified to conduct business in the State of California.

### ANSWER:

7

8

9

12

13

15

6

5

2

### INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Does THIS DEFENDANT currently have, or has THIS DEFENDANT had a department, division, subdivision, branch or group responsible for the design, development, manufacture, testing and use of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S). If so, state:

- a. the name of each present or former corporate department, division, subdivision, branch or group;
- b. the IDENTITY of the person most knowledgeable about such department, division, subdivision, branch or group.

### 17 ANSWER:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) comprised in whole or in part of amosite asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

- a. the trade, brand name and/or generic name of each type of product;
- b. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT first MARKETed each type of product;
- c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing each type of product;

d. a general description of the chemical composition of each type of product, including:

- (i) the type(s) and/or grade(s) of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER contained in each type of product;
- (ii) the quantitative percentage of the type(s) of RAW
  ASBESTOS FIBER in each type of product;
- (iii) any change(s) in the quantitative percentages of the type(s) of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER in each type of product;
  - e. the NATURE of each type of product;
- f. a description of any wording, markings and/or logo on each type of product;
- g. the recommended use(s) of each type of product,
  including temperature limits;
- h. the name(s) of the manufacturer(s) of each type of product;
- i. the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of the amosite asbestos fiber used in each type of product;
- j. the IDENTITY of the person(s) most knowledgeable concerning the purchase of amosite asbestos fiber by THIST DEFENDANT.

ANSWER:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

### INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of amosite asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

a. the name and location of each amosite asbestos mine which THIS DEFENDANT presently operates, has operated, or in which THIS DEFENDANT has or had an ownership interest, including

the dates of such ownership, and the grade of amosite asbestos fiber mined;

- b. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT first MARKETed amosite asbestos fiber;
- c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing amosite asbestos fiber;
- d. the grade(s) of such amosite asbestos fiber MARKETed by THIS DEFENDANT;
- e. the recommended use(s) of each grade of such amosite asbestos fiber, including any temperature limits;
- f. the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of amosite asbestos fiber to THIS DEFENDANT.

ANSWER:

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

### INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS comprised in whole or in part of chrysotile asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

- of product;
- b. the date(s) this Defendant first MARKETed each type of product;
- c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing each type of product;
- d. a general description of the chemical composition of each type of product, including:
- (i) the type(s) and grade(s) of asbestos fiber
  contained in each type of product;

(ii) the quantitative percentage of the types of asbestos fiber in each type of product;

(iii) any change(s) in the quantitative percentages of the type(s) of asbestos fiber in each type of product;

- e. the NATURE of each type of product;
- f. a description of any wording, markings, and/or logo on each type of product;
- g. the recommended use(s) of each type of product, including temperature limits;
  - h. the name of the manufacturer of each type of product;
- i. the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of the chrysotile asbestos fiber used in each type of product;
- j. the IDENTITY of the person(s) most knowledgeable concerning the purchase of chrysotile asbestos fiber by THIS DEFENDANT.

ANSWER:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of chrysotile asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

- a. the name and location of each chrysotile asbestos mine which THIS DEFENDANT presently operates, has operated, or in which THIS DEFENDANT has or had an ownership interest, including dates of such ownership, and the grade of chrysotile asbestos fiber mined;
- b. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT first MARKETed chrysotile asbestos fiber;
  - c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing chrysotile

asbestos fiber;

- d. the grade(s) of such chrysotile asbestos fiber MARKETed by THIS DEFENDANT;
- e. the recommended use(s) of each grade of such chrysotile asbestos fiber, including temperature limits;
- f. the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of chrysotile asbestos fiber to THIS DEFENDANT.

ANSWER:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

8

1

2

3

5

6

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS comprised in whole or in part of crocidolite asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

- a. the trade, brand name and/or generic name of each type of product;
- b. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT first MARKETed each type of product;
- c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing each type of
- d. a general description of the chemical composition of each type of product, including:
- (i) the type(s) and grade(s) of asbestos fiber contained in each type of product;
- (ii) the quantitative percentage of the type(s) of
  fiber in each type of product;
- (iii) any change(s) in the quantitative percentages of the type(s) of asbestos fiber in each type of product;
  - e. the NATURE of each type of product;

- h. the name of the manufacturer of each type of product;
- i. the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of the crocidolite asbestos fiber used in each type of product;
- j. the IDENTITY of the person(s) most knowledgeable concerning the purchase of crocidolite asbestos fiber by THIS DEFENDANT.

ANSWER:

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

### INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Has THIS DEFENDANT engaged in the MARKETing of crocidolite asbestos fiber; if so, please state:

- a. the name and location of each crocidolite asbestos mine which THIS DEFENDANT presently operates, has operated, in the, and/or in which THIS DEFENDANT has or had an ownership interest, including the dates of such ownership, and the grade of asbestos fiber mined;
- b. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT first MARKETed crocidolite asbestos fiber;
- c. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT ceased MARKETing crocidolite asbestos fiber;
- d. the grade(s) of such crocidolite asbestos fiber MARKETed by THIS DEFENDANT;
- e. the recommended use(s) of each grade of such crocidolite asbestos fiber, including temperature limits;

the name(s) and address(es) of the supplier(s) of f. 1 crocidolite asbestos fiber to THIS DEFENDANT. ANSWER: 3 4 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:** 5 Does or did THIS DEFENDANT have a controlling ownership 6 interest in any COMPANY which MARKETed ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S); if so, please state: the name of such COMPANY; a. 9 b. the date of incorporation of such COMPANY; 10 the state of incorporation of such COMPANY; c. 11 the date such interest was acquired; 12 d. the date such interest was changed or terminated, if 13 applicable; 14 the name and location of each facility of such COMPANY; 15 the name of each type of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) 16 g. manufactured, processed, and/or assembled by such COMPANY. 17 ANSWER: 18 19 20 INTERROGATORY NO. 13: Does or did THIS DEFENDANT have a controlling ownership 21 interest in any COMPANY that MARKETED RAW ASBESTOS FIBER; if so, 22 23 please state: 24 a. the name of such COMPANY; 25 b. the date of incorporation or charter of such COMPANY; 26 the state or country of incorporation of such COMPANY; c. 27 the date such interest was acquired; d.

the dates such interest changed or terminated, if

applicable;

f. the name and location of each asbestos mine owned by such COMPANY;

g. the grade and type of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER mined at each mine.

ANSWER:

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

5

6

### INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Has THIS DEFENDANT warehoused any RAW ASBESTOS FIBER or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) in the State of California; if so, please state:

- a. the address of each warehouse facility;
- b. the year(s) THIS DEFENDANT utilized each facility;
- c. the IDENTITY of the custodian of warehousing records.

ANSWER:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

15

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT owned or operated facilities anywhere in the United States in which ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) have been manufactured, processed and/or assembled; if so, state:

a. the address of each such facility, including city and state.

ANSWER:

24

25

26

27

### INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

If THIS DEFENDANT owned or operated facilities in which ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) have been manufactured, processed and/or assembled, please state:

the date said facilities began operation; a. the date said facility ceased operation; and b. the name of each type of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT c. manufactured, processed or assembled at each such facility. ANSWER: **INTERROGATORY NO. 17:** Has THIS DEFENDANT purchased or otherwise acquired any rights to the manufacture of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) from another COMPANY? If so, state: the date of purchase or acquisition of such rights; the trade, brand, and/or generic name of such ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S); the name and location of any COMPANY from which such rights were purchased or acquired; the IDENTITY of the custodian of records of such purchase(s) or acquisition(s). ANSWER: INTERROGATORY NO. '18: Has THIS DEFENDANT applied for and/or received any patent(s) for any ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S). If so, state for each 22 such ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT:

24

25

issued;

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

b. the date(s) of application;

26 27

the date(s) of issuance of the patent(s), if granted; c.

the product for which each patent was applied and/or

28

the date(s) of renewal, if any; d.

the patent number(s); the name of the individual or COMPANY to whom each 2 patent was issued; 3 the IDENTITY of the custodian of patent records of THIS 4 DEFENDANT. ANSWER: 6 7 INTERROGATORY NO. 19: 8 Has THIS DEFENDANT registered any trademark(s) for any 9 ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S); if so, state for each such ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT: 11 the product for which each trademark was registered; 12 whether the registration was State or Federal; 13 if State, name the State; 14 the date(s) or registration; c. 15 d. the term(s) thereof; 16 17 e. the date(s) of renewal; the name of the individual or COMPANY to whom each f. 18 trademark was registered; 19 the IDENTITY of the custodian of such trademark records 20 21 of THIS DEFENDANT. 22 ANSWER: 23 **INTERROGATORY NO. 20:** 25 Did THIS DEFENDANT contract with the General Services Administration and/or other federal-government agency for the 26 sale, anywhere in the United States, of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER 27 28 between 1930 and 1980; if so, state for each such sale:

the grade(s) and type(s) of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER; a. 1 the quantity; b. 2 the date(s) of delivery; c. 3 d. the location(s), including the address(es) of delivery. 4 the name(s) of the agency with which THIS DEFENDANT e. 5 contracted; 6 f. the date(s) of execution of such contract(s); 7 the IDENTITY of the custodian of such contract records g. 8 of THIS DEFENDANT. ANSWER: 10 11 INTERROGATORY NO. 21: 12 Did THIS DEFENDANT contract with the General Services 13 Administration and/or other federal-government agency for the 14 sale, anywhere in the United States, of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING 15 PRODUCT(S) between 1930 and 1980, please state for each such 16 sale: 17 the type of product; 18 - b. the quantity; 19 the date(s) of delivery; 20 c. 21 d. the location(s), including the address(es) of delivery. 22 the name(s) of the agency with which THIS DEFENDANT contracted; 23 the date(s) of execution of such contract(s); 24 f. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such contract records 25 g.

-15-

26

27

28

ANSWER:

of THIS DEFENDANT.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 22:**

Does THIS DEFENDANT have any records of the MARKETing, advertisement, or delivery of its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) in or to NORTHERN CALIFORNIA? If so, state:

- a. the manner in which the records are kept, (e.g., in boxes, files, on microfilm, microfiche or computer tape or disk);
- b. the location(s) and address(es) where such records are maintained;
  - c. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such records.

### 11 ANSWER:

13 INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

If THIS DEFENDANT has in its possession any records of the MARKETing, advertisement, or delivery of its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS (including microfilm, microfiche, computer tape or disk, or any other system in which data is taken from other records), state whether THIS DEFENDANT has retained the original DOCUMENTS from which the data entered into these modes of storage was obtained. If THIS DEFENDANT has not retained such original DOCUMENTS, state:

- a. the date(s) when and location(s) where the original DOCUMENTS were disposed of;
- b. the IDENTITY of the custodian of the original DOCUMENTS at the time of their disposal.

### 26 | ANSWER:

**INTERROGATORY NO. 24:** 

Does THIS DEFENDANT have in its possession any exemplar(s) of advertisements or brochures describing its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS; if so, please state: the location of each exemplar; b. the year(s) in which said exemplar(s) was utilized; 5 the IDENTITY of the custodian of such exemplars. 6 ANSWER: 7 8 INTERROGATORY NO. 25: 9 State the following: 10 the address(es) where the corporate records of THIS 11 DEFENDANT (including minutes from the Board of Directors meetings 12 and corporation annual reports), are currently located; 13 the IDENTITY of the custodian of such records. 14 ANSWER: 15 16 INTERROGATORY NO. 26: 17 Describe the packaging or containers in which THIS DEFENDANT 18 sold and/or distributed RAW ASBESTOS FIBER, including composition, dimension, shape and color. 20 ANSWER: 21 22 23 **INTERROGATORY NO. 27:** Describe any logo, design, marking or printing, including 24

ANSWER:

25

26

27

28

size and color, which appeared on the packaging or containers in

which THIS DEFENDANT sold and/or distributed RAW ASBESTOS FIBER.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 28:**

Describe the packaging or containers in which THIS DEFENDANT sold and/or distributed ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S), including composition, dimension, shape and color.

### ANSWER:

7 8

9

2

3

5

6

### INTERROGATORY NO. 29:

Describe any logo, design, marking or printing, including size and color, which appeared on the packaging or containers in which THIS DEFENDANT sold and/or distributed ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S).

#### ANSWER: 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

12

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 30:**

Does THIS DEFENDANT have any exemplar(s) of packaging or containers in which its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) were sold and/or distributed; If so, state:

- a. the location of each exemplar;
  - b. the year(s) in which said exemplar(s) was utilized;
  - the IDENTITY of the custodian of such exemplars.

### ANSWER:

23

24

25

27

22

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 31:**

Did THIS DEFENDANT put warnings of asbestos-related health hazards on bags of RAW ASBESTOS FIBER; if so, please state:

a. the wording of such warning(s), including size, location, and color;

whether the warning was put on a tag attached to the 1 bags; 2 the date such warning(s) was first used; 3 d. whether any change was made in the wording of such 4 warnings, the date(s) of such change, and the reasons for such 5 change. 6 ANSWER: 7 8 **INTERROGATORY NO. 32:** 9 Did THIS DEFENDANT put warnings of asbestos-related health 10 hazards on the packaging or containers of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING 11 PRODUCT(S)? If so, please state: 12 the wording of such warnings, including size, location 13 on the packaging or containers, and color: the date such warning(s) was first used; 15 whether any change was made in the wording of such 16 warning(s), the date(s) of such change, and the reason(s) for 17 such change. ANSWER: 19 20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 33:** 21 Has THIS DEFENDANT distributed any brochures or pamphlets 22 that contain warnings of any asbestos-related health hazards; if 23 24 so, please state: 25 the wording of such warning; 26 the method used to distribute such brochures or

c. the date(s) such brochures or pamphlets were first

27

28

pamphlets;

issued;

- whether THIS DEFENDANT has exemplar(s) of such brochures or pamphlets;
  - e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such exemplar(s).

ANSWER:

6 . 7

8

10

11

12

13

2

3

4

**INTERROGATORY NO. 34:** 

Did THIS DEFENDANT warn its employees and/or CONTRACT UNIT(S), anywhere in the United States, that exposure to asbestos could be hazardous to human health. If so, state:

- whether copies of DOCUMENTS containing such warnings exist;
  - the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS. b.

ANSWER: 14

15

16

17

INTERROGATORY NO. 35:

State the IDENTITY of medical directors and/or industrial hygienists retained by THIS DEFENDANT in the United States.

19 ANSWER:

20

22

23

26

27

28

**INTERROGATORY NO. 36:** 

Has any employee of THIS DEFENDANT testified by deposition on behalf of THIS DEFENDANT in a third-party case, brought in the 24 United States, wherein the plaintiff has alleged an asbestos-25 | related injury? If so, for each such third party case, please state:

- the caption and case number; a.
- the court of filing including state and county; b.

identified in your Response to Interrogatory No. 37, please state:

- a. the dates during which THIS DEFENDANT was a member.
- b. the name(s) of any publication(s) received by THIS DEFENDANT from such association or organization.
- c. the name of such committee or subcommittee of which THIS DEFENDANT was a member, and the dates of such committee or subcommittee membership.

### ANSWER:

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 39:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT received any DOCUMENT(S) containing results or conclusions of any studies and/or tests conducted by the Saranac Laboratory at the Trudeau Foundation relating to the human health consequences of exposure to asbestos? If so, please:

- a. IDENTIFY all such DOCUMENT(s);
- b. state the date upon which THIS DEFENDANT first received such DOCUMENT(S);
- c. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENT(S).

  ANSWER:

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 40:**

State whether THIS DEFENDANT has ever maintained a library (or libraries) in the United States which contains books, articles, periodicals, journals and/or reference materials that relate to the subjects of asbestos, industrial hygiene, medicine, safety, occupational disease and/or engineering. If so, state:

- a. the date each such library was established;
- b. the location of each such library;
- c. the IDENTITY of each librarian or other person in charge of such library;

ANSWER:

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 41:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT exchanged documents containing the results of or communicated with any individual or other COMPANY regarding tests and/or studies of the relationship between the inhalation of asbestos fibers and development of disease(s); if so, please state:

- a. each individual or COMPANY with whom the information was exchanged or to whom it was communicated;
  - b. the date(s) of any such exchanges or communications;
  - c. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such documents.

ANSWER:

### INTERROGATORY NO. 42:

Has any employee of THIS DEFENDANT testified before the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, or any committee or subcommittee of the United States Congress on the inhalation of asbestos dust and the development of disease; if so, please state:

- a. the entity before whom such testimony was given;
- b. the date(s) and location(s) of such testimony;
- c. the IDENTITY of the individual(s) who so testified;

- 1 2 3 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
  - d. whether any DOCUMENTS were presented to the entity before which testimony was given;
  - e. whether copies of DOCUMENTS presented were retained by THIS DEFENDANT;
  - (i) if so, state the IDENTITY of the custodian of the DOCUMENT(S).

ANSWER:

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 43:**

At any of the physical facilities identified in the response to Interrogatory No. 15, has THIS DEFENDANT conducted, or caused to be conducted, tests and/or studies of ambient asbestos dust created during the manufacture, processing and/or assembling of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S); if so, please state:

- a. each manufacturing facility, including location and address; at which any such test and/or study was conducted;
  - b. the date of each such test and/or study;
- c. the individual(s) or entity conducting each such test
  and/or-study;
- d. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any documents containing the results and/or conclusions of each such study;
- e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of the documents.

  ANSWER:

23 ANSW

24

25

26

27

28

22

### INTERROGATORY NO. 44:

Has THIS DEFENDANT conducted, or caused to be conducted, any tests and/or studies on ambient asbestos dust levels at any location or job site where its ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS were

utilized in the United States; if so, please state:

- a. the location, including name and address, at which each such test and/or study was conducted;
- b. 'the individual(s) or entity conducting each such test and/or study;
  - c. the date of each such test and/or study;
- d. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any DOCUMENTS containing the results and/or conclusions of each such test and/or study;
  - e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of these DOCUMENTS.

### ANSWER:

1

2

Ż

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### INTERROGATORY NO. 45:

Did THIS DEFENDANT have any laboratory or other facility anywhere in the United States at which it conducted, or caused to be conducted, any tests and/or studies of its ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS to measure the amount of asbestos dust generated by any use for which such products were designed; if so, please state:

- a. the location, including name and address, at which each such test and/or study was conducted;
- b. the individual(s) or entity conducting each such test and/or study;
  - c. the date of each such test and/or study;
- d. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any DOCUMENTS containing the results and/or conclusions of each such test and/or study;
  - e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS.

### 26 ANSWER:

27

28

### INTERROGATORY NO. 46:

Has THIS DEFENDANT made available to its employees engaged in the MARKETing of its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or its ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S), a medical examination program; if so, please state:

- a. whether chest x-rays or pulmonary function tests were part of such program(s);
- b. whether participation in any such program was a mandatory condition of employment or was voluntary;
- (i) if mandatory as a condition of employment, how frequently each employee was required to undergo such examination;
  - c. whether THIS DEFENDANT has DOCUMENTS of such program;
  - d. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS.

ANSWER:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 47:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT notified in writing any individuals or COMPANIES to whom it MARKETED RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S), anywhere in the United States, of the potential relationship between exposure to asbestos and disease; if so, please state:

- a. the date(s) THIS DEFENDANT provided this information:
- b. the means used for transmittal of such information;
- c. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any copies of any DOCUMENTS transmitting such information;
- d. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such documents. ANSWER:

### INTERROGATORY NO. 48:

1

2

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Has THIS DEFENDANT required any individual(s) who MARKETed its ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) to wear respirators or face masks; if so, please state:

- a. the job title(s), if known, of individual(s) required to wear respirators or face masks;
- b. the date(s) on which THIS DEFENDANT first required the wearing of respirators or face masks;
- c. the means by which the requirement to wear respirators or face masks was communicated;
- d. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any copies of DOCUMENTS communicating such requirements;
- e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS.

14 ANSWER:

### INTERROGATORY NO. 49:

Does or did THIS DEFENDANT utilize or employ any CONTRACT UNIT. If so, please state:

- a. the inclusive periods of time the CONTRACT UNIT(S) was utilized or employed;
  - b. the business address and name of the CONTRACT UNIT(S);
- c. whether THIS DEFENDANT has any DOCUMENTS showing the location(s) of the job site(s) where the CONTRACT UNIT(S) worked, and if so, state the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS.

  ANSWER:

26

27

28

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 50:**

Has THIS DEFENDANT received any written communication or

other DOCUMENT, other than a claim for workers' compensation, 1 that any person was claiming injury as a result of exposure to its RAW ASBESTOS FIBER and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S); if so, please IDENTITY the first such written communication or 4 DOCUMENT. 5 ANSWER: 6 7 **INTERROGATORY NO. 51:** 8 Has any person filed a claim for asbestos-related injury 9 regarding THIS DEFENDANT against any workers' compensation 10 insurance carrier which provided coverage for THIS DEFENDANT; if 11 so, please state: 12 the date of such claim; 13 b. the name of claimant: 14 c. the caption; 15 d. the case number; 16 the court in which the claim was filed; 17 e. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such documents. 18 ANSWER: 19 20 21 INTERROGATORY NO. 52: 22 Has any person filed a workers' compensation claim for asbestos-related injury against THIS DEFENDANT; if so, please 23 24 state: 25 the date of such claim; 26 b. the name of claimant; 27 c. the caption; 28

d.

the case number;

the court in which the claim was filed; the IDENTITY of the custodian of such documents. f. 2 ANSWER: 3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 53:** 5 Does THIS DEFENDANT have insurance available to cover 6 judgment(s) entered against it in asbestos-related personal 7 injury lawsuits; if so, please state: the name and principal place of business of any insurance carrier who has issued such policy of insurance; 10 the number and effective date of each policy; b. the amount(s) of coverage of each policy; 12 d. the applicable dates of coverage; 13 any reservation of rights contained in each such policy; the amount of coverage presently exhausted under each such policy; 16 the amount of coverage presently available under each 17 such policy; 18 h. whether limits contained in each such policy include 19 costs of defense. 20 21 ANSWER: 23 INTERROGATORY NO. 54: 24

22

25

26

27

28

8

9

11

14

15

Has THIS DEFENDANT owned or operated any petroleum refining facilities; if so, please state:

- whether any ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) WERE MARKETed on the premises of such refining facilities;
  - b. the location, including the name and address of all such

refining facilities;

- c. the dates of operation of such refining facilities;
- d. the types of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) MARKETED on such premises;
- e. the names of the manufacturers of any ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS MARKETED on such premises;
- f. whether THIS DEFENDANT has documents identifying such MARKETing;
- g. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such documents.
  ANSWER:

### INTERROGATORY NO. 55:

Has THIS DEFENDANT held a controlling ownership interest in any COMPANY which owned or operated petroleum refining facilities: if so, for the period(s) of time during which THIS DEFENDANT held such interest, please state:

- a. whether any ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS were MARKETed on the premises of such refining facilities;
- the location, including the name and address of all such refining facilities;
  - c. the dates of operation of such refining facilities;
- d. the types of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS MARKETed on such premises;
- e. the names of the manufacturers of any ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS MARKETED on such premises;
- f. whether THIS DEFENDANT has DOCUMENTS identifying such MARKETing;
  - g. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS.

-30-

1

2

3

.

5

\_

. 7

0

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

25

26

27

### ANSWER: **INTERROGATORY NO. 57:** Has THIS DEFENDANT contracted with any COMPANY for the MARKETing of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S) on any premises owned or leased by THIS DEFENDANT; if so, please state: the location, including name and address of such premises; b. the name and address of each such COMPANY; the types of ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS; d. the name of the manufacturers of such ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS; whether THIS DEFENDANT has DOCUMENTS of such MARKETing; f. the IDENTITY of the custodian of such DOCUMENTS. ANSWER: